University of Central Florida College of Medicine M1/M2 Minutes

Meeting Date: 07/13/2018 Start Time: 3:06p Adjourn Time: 4:33p

Chair: Dr. Kibble

Attendance: Drs. Kibble, Kauffman, Gorman, Hernandez, Cendan, Topping, Dil, Berman, Ebert, Kay, Peppler, Castiglioni, Phil Bellew, Nadine

Dexter

Agenda Item		Discussion		Decisions
Approval of	•		•	Approved
minutes				
Announcements	•	Budgets:	•	
		 Dr Peppler will distribute a template for directors to develop module budgets 		
		 Will be among responsibilities of next Director of Faculty and Academic Affairs to 		
		coordinate education budget		
	•	New syllabus rules:		
		 New verbiage required in syllabus 		
		 Coordinators will add what is required and work through details as necessary 		
	•	Library liaison program:		
		 New list of liaisons will be sent in the near future 		
		 Invite liaison to planning meeting – library needs ~2 months to get books set for 		
		modules		
		 Libguides – please give librarian time to show libguide for students at orientation 		
	•	Endnote 8 update training went well and is available for those interested		
	•	CTAG phases		
		\circ Phase 1 – data collecting. Met with stakeholders, collating data & reports $ ightarrow$		
		creating guiding principles		
		 Phase 2 – Reach out and identify candidates for task forces (Aug. 28th launch) 		

	0	
Update on S-4 engagement study findings	 Summary of mandatory & non mandatory sessions in GI/Renal + SLM time Data from Tealpass, final exam, MSLQ survey, MCAT/GPA, study resource tracking data Waiting on step 1 data, focus group Tracking data updates: 26 students attended zero non-mandatory sessions Non-attending students had a statistically significant better performance on the final exam (these students also had a higher historic MCAT score) At the individual level, class attendance did not predict exam performance Lecture viewing also does not correlate with performance; however it inversely correlates with attendance. Typical students either show up to lectures or watch video, with some outliers. SLMs (no MP3 data tracked): Students don't use SLMs to the same extent as lectures, however these include review SLMs. High attenders downloaded nearly all PPT files. For other students it varied, but this statistic did not correlate with performance Total curriculum consumption (lecture/video/slm time): no correlation to exam performance, most students use a majority of the material. Most students engage with COM content, attendance itself is not a predictor of performance Complete disengagement from curriculum may be a risk factor for poor performance Self-efficacy is predictive of exam scores Considerations for curricular efficiency 	
Promobes	 Example of incident reports that resulted in yellow cards Lateness/unexcused absence, communications were issues In general, promobes is being underutilized – students who would be appropriately reported are not Hesitation to use the app as it's a potential stressor/high consequences – documenting something as a yellow card does not elevate it to yellow card status immediately, but misbehavior is properly documented for later 	•

	Other students express frustration that professionalism standards are not consistently upheld	
	Positive feedback is also available	
Student wellness and curriculum	 Reminder to encourage everyone to engage in business as usual – sustain and improve the quality of the present curriculum for its duration of use. Stress in student body – fueled to a degree by overteaching, evaluate what is core vs not in each course Possibility of pilot testing on small scales & appropriate involvement level of students in discussion of curriculum reform 	
Other business	 Kate Daly on suicide prevention sessions (QPR) – July 27th is the next session 	•